

EXHIBIT 1

Page 950

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
HONORABLE ETHAN P. SCHULMAN
DEPARTMENT 304

---oOo---

COORDINATION PROCEEDING CASE No. CJC-21-005188
SPECIAL TITLE [RULE 1550(b)]

In Re: Uber Rideshare Cases

_____ /

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
VOLUME 5 PAGES 951 - 1170
FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2025


OFFICIAL STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER PRO TEM:
ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR
CA CSR LICENSE NO. 9830

Job No. CS7570153

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 951</p> <p>1 APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 FOR PLAINTIFFS JANE DOE LSA 78:</p> <p>5 TAYLOR RING</p> <p>6 BY: JOHN C. TAYLOR, Esq.</p> <p>7 NATALIE WEATHERFORD, Esq.</p> <p>8 1220 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 360</p> <p>9 Manhattan Beach, California 90266</p> <p>10 310.209.4100</p> <p>11 taylor@taylorring.com</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14 CO-LEAD COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:</p> <p>15 CUTTER LAW P.C.</p> <p>16 BY: CELINE CUTTER, Esq.</p> <p>17 401 Watt Avenue, Suite 100</p> <p>18 Sacramento, California 95864</p> <p>19 916.943.7872</p> <p>20 ccutter@cutterlaw.com</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 953</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES (continued.)</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 FOR DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; RAISER,</p> <p>5 LLC, and RAISER-CA LLC:</p> <p>6 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP</p> <p>7 BY: MARK PREMO-HOPKINS, Esq.</p> <p>8 BY: ALLI BROWN, Esq.</p> <p>9 BY: ALEXANDRA CARITIS, Esq.</p> <p>10 BY: MICHAEL VIVES, Esq.</p> <p>11 BY: RACHEL PAPALSKI, Paralegal</p> <p>12 555 California Street, 27th Floor</p> <p>13 San Francisco, California 94104</p> <p>14 415.439.1400</p> <p>15 mark.premohopkins@kirkland.com</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 FOR DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; RAISER,</p> <p>19 LLC, and RAISER-CA LLC:</p> <p>20 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP</p> <p>21 BY: JONATHAN P. SCHNELLER, Esq.</p> <p>22 400 S. Hope Street</p> <p>23 Los Angeles, California 90071</p> <p>24 213.430.8115</p> <p>25 jschneller@omm.com</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 952</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES (continued.)</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 CO-LEAD COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:</p> <p>5 LEVIN SIMES LLP</p> <p>6 BY: WILLIAM A. LEVIN, Esq.</p> <p>7 BY: LAUREL SIMES, Esq.</p> <p>8 BY: DAVID GRIMES, Esq.</p> <p>9 1700 Montgomery Street, Suite 250</p> <p>10 San Francisco, California 94111</p> <p>11 415.426.5000</p> <p>12 wlevin@levinsimes.com</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15 FOR PLAINTIFF:</p> <p>16 WILLIAMS HART BOUNDAS</p> <p>17 BY: JOHN BOUNDAS, Esq.</p> <p>18 BY: BRIAN A. ABRAMSON, Esq.</p> <p>19 8441 Gulf Freeway, Suite 600</p> <p>20 Houston, Texas 77017</p> <p>21 713.230.2200</p> <p>22 babramson@whlaw.com</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 954</p> <p>1 SESSIONS</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 DATE PAGE</p> <p>4 FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2025</p> <p>5 MORNING SESSION 959</p> <p>6 AFTERNOON SESSION 1081</p> <p>7 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1171</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10 ---oOo---</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 955</p> <p>1 INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 PLAINTIFF'S PAGE</p> <p>4 WITNESS: KATHERINE PARKER - 776 EXAMINATION</p> <p>5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WEATHERFORD 960</p> <p>6 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PREMO-HOPKINS 1053</p> <p>7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WEATHERFORD 1105</p> <p>8</p> <p>9 ---oOo---</p> <p>10</p> <p>11 PLAINTIFF'S PAGE</p> <p>12 WITNESS: REBECCA PAYNE - DEPOSITION TESTIMONY</p> <p>13 DIRECT/CROSS-EXAMINATION Continued 1137</p> <p>14</p> <p>15 ---oOo---</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 957</p> <p>1 INDEX OF EXHIBITS</p> <p>2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION MRKD ADMTD</p> <p>3 D-6712 8/1/2025 Global Interpersonal 1092 1094</p> <p>4 Conflict DACT Adjudication</p> <p>5 Process, Version 33</p> <p>6 UBER_JCCP_MDL_002268345</p> <p>7 D-6725 6/27/2017 Code of Conduct 1098 1099</p> <p>8 UBER000000566</p> <p>9 PTX-713 6/9/2017 1106</p> <p>10 UBER_JCCP_MDL_000263371</p> <p>11 PTX-1694 5/28/2020 1138</p> <p>12 UBER_JCCP_MDL_000261329</p> <p>13 Payne 3419</p> <p>14 PTX-2006 9/8/2022 1138</p> <p>15 UBER_JCCP_MDL_002289722</p> <p>16 Payne 3420 Kaiser 633</p> <p>17 PTX-1390 5/20/2019 1138</p> <p>18 UBER_JCCP_MDL_002286376</p> <p>19 Payne 3421 Twomey 939</p> <p>20</p> <p>21 ---oOo---</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 956</p> <p>1 INDEX OF EXHIBITS</p> <p>2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION MRKD ADMTD</p> <p>3 PTX-723 6/21/2017 972 973</p> <p>4 UBER_JCCP_MDL_000323152</p> <p>5 Brown 1736 Parker 649</p> <p>6 PTX-1414 6/15/2019 1000 1001</p> <p>7 UBER_JCCP_MDL_002310975</p> <p>8 Parker 116</p> <p>9 PTX-804 10/9/2017 UBER000024188 1011 1013</p> <p>10 Parker 621 McDonald 1,</p> <p>11 Fuldner 2233</p> <p>12 PTX-847 11/7/2017 UBER000021118 1022 1022</p> <p>13 Parker 109 Pimentel 109</p> <p>14 PTX-848 11/7/2017 UBER000021034 1026 1027</p> <p>15 Parker 624</p> <p>16 PTX-1841 7/20/2021 UBER000038017 1030 1031</p> <p>17 Parker 629</p> <p>18 PTX-1475 9/16/2019 1045 1047</p> <p>19 UBER_JCCP_MDL_002738160</p> <p>20 Parker 663 Boman 663, Boman 1544,</p> <p>21 Anderson 3263</p> <p>22 D-5191 1-3-17 E-mail 1074 1074</p> <p>23 PTX-2513 1/21/2018 1082 1083</p> <p>24 UBER_JCCP_MDL_000518601</p> <p>25 //</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 958</p> <p>1 MORNING SESSION</p> <p>2 FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2025</p> <p>3 ---oOo---</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 THE CLERK: All rise. Department 304 court</p> <p>6 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Ethan P.</p> <p>7 Schulman presiding. Please be seated and come to</p> <p>8 order.</p> <p>9 THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. Hope</p> <p>10 everybody is well. This is Friday.</p> <p>11 I want to start with some good news. Based</p> <p>12 on what the lawyers are telling me, it does look like</p> <p>13 we are on track for the -- the scheduling of the trial</p> <p>14 that we discussed, so that's good.</p> <p>15 And the other qualified good news is, there</p> <p>16 is a possibility that we may end a little bit early</p> <p>17 today due to some scheduling issues and some of the</p> <p>18 matters that the lawyers and I need to meet about.</p> <p>19 I don't want to promise you that because I</p> <p>20 hate breaking promises, but there is a possibility</p> <p>21 that you may get out of here a little bit early on a</p> <p>22 Friday afternoon.</p> <p>23 So that's all the good news I've got, but</p> <p>24 it's a nice way to start a Friday morning.</p> <p>25 Mr. Taylor, where do we go from here?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1139</p> <p>1 be aware of. It's not subject to cross-examination. 2 And so you can't do research for that reason. 3 You can't get other people's views or share your own 4 views about what's going on here. 5 When we get to the end of the trial, I will 6 lift -- and you reach a verdict, I will lift those 7 restrictions. But they will remain in effect until 8 the end of the trial. 9 So thank you all very much again. We will 10 look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. And I 11 think we can all agree that we quit a little bit 12 earlier in the day, I think. 13 (The jury exits the courtroom.) 14 THE COURT: All right. The jury has left for 15 the weekend. 16 There are a small handful, I trust, of things 17 that we need to go over. 18 You can have a seat, folks, unless you feel 19 like standing. 20 I have three things on my list. And since 21 I've got the microphone, I'll start. 22 The first is this: I did receive last night 23 an e-mail from Ms. Vartain, Uber's counsel, seeking a 24 hearing date on a motion to enforce the protective 25 order in relation to the New York Times article and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1141</p> <p>1 suggest that she might just extend the deadline a 2 little bit. 3 And she wrote back that that would not be a 4 problem from the standpoint of federal court. She 5 wrote: 6 (As read): 7 "I can extend the deadline to 14 days after 8 October 21st." 9 Which would be November 4th. 10 And the reason for the October 21st date is, 11 we already have a hearing scheduled for that date on 12 the motion by Uber for a case management order. 13 And so what I propose to do, and hopefully 14 this elicits a positive reaction from both sides, is 15 to simply utilize that same date and time to have a 16 hearing as well on the motion for the protective order 17 that Uber apparently plans to file. 18 Are both sides copacetic with that? 19 MR. ABRAMSON: Yes, Your Honor. 20 MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Great. Okay. 22 MS. SIMES: Your Honor, could you turn your 23 mic on? 24 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I turned it off so as 25 not to interfere. But you all heard me.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1140</p> <p>1 the concern that there may have been a leak of 2 materials that were filed under seal with the Court 3 and/or produced pursuant to the protective order. 4 And I'm aware that Uber initially sought 5 relief in relation to that issue in federal court. 6 And Magistrate Judge Cisneros, in her brief order 7 issued a few days ago, I think, said that this is a 8 matter for the parties to take up in this court. 9 Perfectly appropriate. I'm not criticizing her. 10 There was some -- we're only here right now 11 to talk about the scheduling of that hearing. There 12 was some back and forth that was summarized by 13 Ms. Vartain in the letter about when the Court could 14 or should hear the matter. 15 And with the concerns being that Magistrate 16 Judge Cisneros had given Uber 45 days from the date of 17 her order, i.e., until October 20th, to address the 18 issue in this court. 19 And Plaintiffs were concerned that seeking an 20 earlier hearing date understandably, which would 21 require briefing even earlier, would interfere with 22 their participation in this ongoing trial. 23 I took it upon myself, I hope you all don't 24 mind, to contact Magistrate Judge Cisneros and 25 suggest -- and I did that earlier this morning -- to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1142</p> <p>1 And Andrea, you heard me; right? 2 STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. I apologize. I turned it 4 off while the video was going. 5 Second, I received, I think, last night from 6 Ms. Cutter a proposed order on the various motions to 7 seal, but without any explanation. 8 Does that reflect the parties' agreement, 9 that that's an agreed order that I should sign? 10 And if not -- and Mr. Premo-Hopkins is 11 shaking his head in the negative. There is still that 12 kind of lingering issue here about sealing. 13 What do you all want me to do about this? 14 MR. PREMO-HOPKINS: Your Honor, if I may. 15 I didn't know an order was going to be 16 submitted. The -- my understanding is that we've 17 reviewed the information that the Plaintiffs suggested 18 in the back and forth. You know, we narrowed. It 19 went to them. They said they agreed with regard to A, 20 B, and C, but not D, E, and F. 21 We have now reviewed that. I understand it's 22 obviously something our client has to sign off on 23 during the trial. So we've been working with them. I 24 understand we're very close to being able to provide 25 that back to them.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1167</p> <p>1 themselves out.</p> <p>2 And you're absolutely right. The Court</p> <p>3 retains jurisdiction obviously throughout the entire</p> <p>4 trial under 352 to exclude cumulative or duplicative</p> <p>5 testimony.</p> <p>6 And again, in light of the sensitivity of the</p> <p>7 issues here, I've suggested that, depending on how the</p> <p>8 Plaintiff testifies on the topic that we're discussing</p> <p>9 now, i.e., her alleged childhood abuse, and depending</p> <p>10 on what the offer of proof looks like, I would</p> <p>11 possibly entertain a motion under 352 to say the</p> <p>12 parents need not chime in and say the same thing that</p> <p>13 she's already testified to.</p> <p>14 But I'm making no prejudgment on that. I'm</p> <p>15 simply stating a truism, which is, of course I have</p> <p>16 that authority throughout the trial.</p> <p>17 MR. LEVIN: Thank you, Your Honor.</p> <p>18 THE COURT: Have we gotten to the end of our</p> <p>19 week?</p> <p>20 MR. TAYLOR: We have. Thank you very much.</p> <p>21 MS. BROWN: Thank you very much.</p> <p>22 MR. PREMO-HOPKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.</p> <p>23 THE COURT: Have a good weekend and a good</p> <p>24 trip back.</p> <p>25 //</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1169</p> <p>1 State of California)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">) ss.</p> <p>2 County of San Francisco)</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 I, ANDREA M. IGNACIO, Stenographic Court</p> <p>5 Reporter at the Superior Court of California, County</p> <p>6 of San Francisco, do hereby certify:</p> <p>7 That I was present at the time of the above</p> <p>8 proceedings;</p> <p>9 That I took down in machine shorthand notes</p> <p>10 all proceedings had and testimony given;</p> <p>11 That I thereafter transcribed said shorthand</p> <p>12 notes with the aid of a computer;</p> <p>13 That the above and foregoing is a full, true,</p> <p>14 and correct transcription of said shorthand notes, and</p> <p>15 a full, true and correct transcript of all proceedings</p> <p>16 had and testimony taken;</p> <p>17 That I am not a party to the action or</p> <p>18 related to a party or counsel;</p> <p>19 That I have no financial or other interest in</p> <p>20 the outcome of the action.</p> <p>21</p> <p>22 Dated: 9-12-2025</p> <p>23</p> <p>24 </p> <p>25 ANDREA M. IGNACIO, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR, CSR No. 9830</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1168</p> <p>1 (WHEREUPON, the proceedings recessed</p> <p>2 at 4:04 p.m.)</p> <p>3 ---oOo---</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1170</p> <p>1</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>